

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/762,580	02/09/2001	Gerard Hotier	PET1913	5248	
23599 75	90 10/04/2006		EXAMINER		
MILLEN, WH	ITE, ZELANO & BRA	CINTINS, IVARS C			
2200 CLARENI SUITE 1400	DON BLVD.	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2000	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/762,580	HOTIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
:	Ivars C. Cintins	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	lv 2006					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E			11161113 13			
Disposition of Claims	x parte Quaylo, 1000 0.5. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
	. 0					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-22 and 24-26</u> is/are pending i						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-22 and 24-26</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
I) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	лон пррисации				

Application/Control Number: 09/762,580

Art Unit: 1724

The terminal disclaimer filed March 16, 2006 has been approved, and is deemed to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection contained in the previous Office action.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-18, 20-22, 24 and 25 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. As pointed out in the previous Office action, it appears essential that the panels in Applicant's system contain only a single distribution chamber (i.e. C_i) in order to function in the intended manner. See the following portions of the specification: page 1, lines 6-7; page 5, lines 1-2; page 6, lines 19-20; page 13, lines 2-3; etc. Since this feature has not been recited in 1-18, 20-22, 24 and 25, these claims are not enabled by the disclosure. *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-18, 20-22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. As pointed out in the previous Office action, claims 1-18, 20-22, 24 and 25 fail to recite the apparently essential limitation that all of the panels in the recited system have only a single distribution chamber; and therefore, these claims fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the

Application/Control Number: 09/762,580

Art Unit: 1724

Subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. As pointed out in the previous Office action, claims 18, 20, 21 and 25 are also deemed to be incomplete since it appears that the other end of the bypass line must be connected to the distribution chamber (C_i). Also, the term "said <u>at least one</u> single distribution ... chamber [emphasis added]" (claim 26, lines 11-12) lacks antecedent basis in the claim, and is therefore indefinite, since this claim clearly recites that <u>each</u> of the panels comprises this chamber (see claim 26, lines 5-6).

Applicant's arguments filed July 20, 2006 have been noted and carefully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive of patentability. Applicant argues that the concept of the invention can coexist with other distribution, mixing and/or fluid extraction panels which do not contain a single distribution, mixing and/or fluid extraction chamber, so long as there is at least one panel which is in accordance with the invention. It is pointed out, however, that nowhere in the disclosure is such a combination of diverse distribution panels suggested. In fact, Applicant has clearly disclosed that each distribution-mixing-extraction panel comprises a distribution-extraction-mixing chamber C_i (see page 13, lines 2-3, of the specification). Accordingly, it appears essential that the panels in Applicant's system must each contain only a single distribution chamber in order to function in the intended manner, and the absence of such a recitation in claims 1-18, 20-22, 24 and 25 fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant also addresses a rejection "under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over Haase '292" (see the paragraph bridging pages 9 and 10 of the above noted response), and a

Application/Control Number: 09/762,580 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

rejection "under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over Ferschneider et al. '537" (see page 10, first full paragraph of the response). These arguments are not understood, since the previous Office action (i.e. dated December 16, 2005) contains no such rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) or (e).

Claims 1-18, 20-22 and 24-26 would be allowed if rewritten or amended to overcome the above rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is 571-272-1155.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, can be reached at 571-272-1166.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins October 1, 2006